

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/071,751	02/07/2002		Shirley Wu Hunter	2618-17-C4-PUS-2	2578
22442	7590	01/09/2004		EXAMINER	
SHERIDAN	N ROSS PC		STEADMAN, DAVID J		
1560 BROADWAY SUITE 1200				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DENVER, (1652	
				DATE MAILED: 01/09/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/071,751	HUNTER ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	David J Steadman	1652					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 24 November 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avenual rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	old abandonment of this applica	ition. A proper reply to a					
	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ta ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 708.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The cfee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of it (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Cf	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CF/ extension and the corresponding amo ne shortened statutory period for reply or later than three months after the mail	date of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ont of the fee. The appropriate extension					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	Brief must be filed within the pe 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	riod set forth in the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:						
(a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
 (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or 	better form for appeal by mater	ially reducing or simplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without cancelin	g a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims.					
NOTE: see attachment.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	e allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for r application in condition for allowance because: see.	econsideration has been consideration has been consideration has been considerated by the consideration with the consideration has been consideration and the consideration	ered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becarraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were newly					
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) Will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: 49,56 and 59.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>43-45.57 and 58</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	ved or b) disapproved by the	e Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement							
10. ☐ Other:	. , ,,,,,						

ADVISORY ACTION

- [1] Claims 43-45, 49, and 56-59 are pending in the application.
- [2] Claims 49, 56, and 59 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- [3] Claims 43-45 and 57-58 stand finally rejected.
- [4] The request for reconsideration in the after final amendment filed November 24, 2003, has been considered, however, the amendment does not place the application in condition for allowance. The amendment has NOT been entered because the claims presented in the amendment filed November 24, 2003, particularly claims 43, 61, and 63, present new issues requiring further consideration and a new search. See MPEP § 714.13 regarding non-entry of an after final amendment.
- [5] Applicants' arguments have been fully considered. However, in view of the nonentry of the amendment filed November 24, 2003, applicants' arguments are not found persuasive and the rejections as set forth in items 7-9 in the Office action mailed August 22, 2003 are maintained for the reasons of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Steadman, whose telephone number is (703) 308-3934. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 7:00 am to 5:00 pm. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura Achutamurthy, can be reached at (703) 308-3804. The FAX number for submission of official papers to Group 1600 is (703) 308-4242. Draft or informal FAX communications should be directed to (703) 746-5078. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Art Unit receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

David J. Steadman, Ph.D. Patent Examiner

REBECCA E. PROUTY
PRIMARY EXAMINER